# d e

## **Reviewer Assessment Form: Targeted Call 2021**

Project Name					
Bridging the Gap 2.0 (BtG2.0)					
Lead Organization					
Bow Valley College					
Name of Reviewer					
Ashley Walker					
Email	Phone				
walker@theevidencenetwork.com	+49 176 58958083				



Conflict of Interest Verification : I have acknowledged and agreed to follow FSC-CCF's Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest Policy and I have no Conflict with this grant application.

## A. Relevance

Project aligns with FSC's priorities, addresses recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada, and demonstrates demand for service.

#### A1: Alignment with FSC's strategic priorities

O Displays little or no alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities. O Pursues FSC's Strategic Priorities, but alignment lacks clarity.

⊙ Adequately aligns with O Presents outstanding FSC's Strategic Priorities. alignment with FSC's

O Presents outstanding alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities in a way that demonstrates that FSC should not miss the opportunity to partner

with this project.

#### \*FSC's Strategic Plan for your reference

#### A2: Addressing systemic challenges

O Shows little or no scope O Presents general but limited scope to address to address recognized systemic challenges about recognized systemic future skills in Canada. challenges about future skills in Canada.

• Presents clear and relevant scope to address outstanding potential to recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada.

O Demonstrates address recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada with a strong and welldefined scope that sets this project aside from other initiatives.

#### A3: Demand for service

O Demonstration of the irrelevant or poorly articulated.

 Demonstrates that this service but explanation lacks clarity.

O Clearly demonstrates demand for this service is there is some demand for that there are high levels of demand for this service levels of demand for this and explains how the project adequately fulfills this demand.

O Articulates a deep understanding of the high service and makes a strong case for how providing this service is timely.

## B. Innovation and Evidence

Project pursues a new way of doing things that can advance knowledge and/or is an evidence-informed model.

#### **B1: Innovative nature**

O It is not innovative. seeks funding for business as usual and, if interventions proposed applicable, is not informed are not particularly novel by evidence.

O While it is a departure from business as usual. and, if applicable, are only adequately informed by vaguely informed by evidence.

• Proposes clearly O Proposes solutions that innovative solutions and, if are without a doubt one of applicable, articulates how a kind and highly the novel interventions are innovative and, if applicable, makes a evidence. strong case for how the interventions are grounded on evidence

that is relevant and applied in a novel way.

#### B2: Evidence generation and new knowledge

plan to generate insights generate insights and or to advance knowledge. advance knowledge that

O Presents unclear or no O Demonstrates intent to O Presents a clear and can benefit the skills ecosystem but the plan lacks clarity.

O There are strong and adequate plan to generate well-designed strategies in insights and advance place to generate insights knowledge that will clearly and advance knowledge benefit the skills in a way that sets this ecosystem at large. project aside from other initiatives.

## C. Learning

Project has already generated learning that informed the additional scope and identifies concrete problem statements and learning questions to address in the next phase.

#### C1: Application of learnings from current project

	O Does not demonstrate how learning generated from the current project informed additional scope	O Presents general but limited connection between learning . generated from the current project and additional scope.	⊙ Presents a clear and relevant connection between learning generated from the current project and additional scope.	O Makes an outstanding case for how the additional scope is grounded on learning generated from the current project and expertly demonstrates ability to continue to pursue learning.		
C2: Problem statements and additional learning questions						

O Presents vague or no concrete additional learning questions.

O Somewhat defines Clearly identifies concrete and additional additional learning learning questions but the questions that are connection between concrete and relevant to guestions and the problem address the problem statements lacks clarity. statements.

O Articulates well-defined and concrete learning questions that will without a doubt contribute to addressing the problem statements within and beyond the scope of the project.

## D. Equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI)

Project incorporates the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders, particularly groups facing barriers, in the design and execution of the project, presents practices grounded in EDI principles, and shows potential to further EDI.

#### D1: Incorporation of the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders

• Does not incorporate the perspectives of endusers and other stakeholders in the design the design and execution are involved in the design and other stakeholders, and execution of the project.

O Shows that end-users and other stakeholders are somewhat involved in and other stakeholders of the project, but lacks clarity around the effective project in effective and incorporation of their perspectives.

O Presents clear evidence that end-users and execution of the relevant ways.

O There are strong and well-designed strategies in place to involve end-users demonstrating an outstanding commitment to incorporating their perspectives at every stage of the project.

#### **D2: EDI practices & activities**

O Project practices and activities do not directly support and are not

 Project practices and activities somewhat support but are only

O Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies,

O Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies, practices or activities and explicitly grounded in EDI loosely grounded in EDI practices or activities. principles. principles.

clearly demonstrates commitment to EDI and potential to be a leader in promoting EDI in the skills ecosystem.

#### **D3: Impact on furthering EDI**

O Anticipated impact on O Demonstrates intent to O Anticipated impact on furthering EDI under the further EDI under the project scope is irrelevant project scope, but or vague.

rationale lacks clarity.

furthering EDI under the project scope is clear and EDI in the field or sector relevant.

O Presents promising and strong strategies to further with potential to impact the skills ecosystem at large.

## E. Capacity

The lead organization (and partners if applicable) have the skills, experience and resources to execute the project successfully and hold a good track record with FSC.

#### E1: Skills, experience & resources

O Project team lacks skills, experience and resources needed to execute the project.	• Project team has some of the skills, experience and resources needed to execute the project.	O Project team clearly demonstrates adequate skills, experience and resources to execute the project.	O Project team demonstrates strong skills, experience and resources to succeed in the project and to be a leader who influences the skills ecosystem.
record with FSC and of addressing challenges faced during the current project, indicating that the organization may struggle to manage the new project effectively and responsibly.	indicating that the	• Presents adequate evidence of a good track record with FSC and of addressing challenges faced during the current project, indicating that the organization will manage the new project effectively and responsibly.	indicating that the

## **F.** Coherence

Project displays a logical connection between proposed activities and project objectives with a work plan and a budget that are reasonable, appropriate and aligned.

#### F1: Connection between activities & objectives

O Lacks logical connection between activities and objectives. but the link lacks clarity.

O Activities and objectives O Presents a clear and are somewhat connected, logical connection between activities and objectives.

O Activities and objectives are without a doubt strongly connected in a thoughtful way.

#### F2: Budget

O Budget is not • Budget is somewhat reasonable, appropriate or reasonable and aligned with workplan. appropriate, but is only loosely aligned with workplan.

O Budget is clearly O Presents an reasonable, appropriate outstanding value for and aligned with workplan.money and strong alignment with workplan.

## **Reviewer overall recommendation**

Considering the proposal as a whole, do you think FSC should fund this project as a worthwhile contribution to the skills ecosystem?

#### **Overall Recommendation:**

- O I recommend this project for funding
- ⊙ I recommend this project for funding conditional on changes and/or more information
- O I do not recommend this project for funding

#### Explain your reasoning for this recommendation.

In general I think this is an excellent move for Canada's workforce. So many skills are gained through means that cannot readily be listed on a resume so it is great to see an initiative designed to address that gap. However, given the experience with the first phase of the project, and the objectives of Future Skills Canada, I find it odd that the project makes no effort to plan for the future. The emphasis seems to be on what today's workforce needs and the competencies that would align to those needs, but it might be more beneficial to ask industry to look ahead a year or two out to what roles they'll be hiring for then and work towards the competencies of those roles. The in-demand industries / jobs of today may not be the indemand industries / jobs of mid-2023 when the tool will actually be rolled out.

Also, while I appreciate that this is an exploratory 'back to the drawing board' project, there was little evidence provided from the first phase that would suggest that either industry or potential employees are interested in engaging in this process.

Both of these issues hold me back from recommending it for funding but if they were to be addressed then the project has the potential to offer a strong contribution to Canada's workforce.

#### What do you think are the strongest aspects of this project?

I think the ability to say that the first phase of the project didn't go as planned but that they've learned and have rethought the project to address the issues from the first time around demonstrates a lot of strength, and the research will be better for it. The comprehensive list of learning questions is a good indication of this.

Where do you think the project has gaps or challenges?

The proposal did not articulate how job seekers would be involved in the process, the knowledge dissemination plan was a bit vague, there was no explanation for where the 'savings' from the first phase of the project will be allocated in the budget, and a significant portion of the budget was allocated for professional services that I was unable to account for in the explanation of the project.

#### Comments

Please share any other comments.